

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,358	01/28/2004	Kazuhisa Kurita	Q78684	1542
23373	7590 06/28/2004		EXAMINER	
	E MION, PLLC	MOHANTY, BIBHU R		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	TON, DC 20037		3747	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	20		
	10/765,358	KURITA ET AL.	$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}$		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	$-\psi$		
	Bibhu Mohanty	3747			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with th	he correspondence add	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	pe timely filed ) days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this cononection (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28.	January 2004.				
	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004 is/ar		ted to by the Examine	er.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			R 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	it of the certified copies not rece	eived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	al Patent Application (PTO	-152)		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office			·		
	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail I	Date 062504		

Application/Control Number: 10/765,358

Art Unit: 3747

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent 289610.

The Japanese Patent has disclosed the invention substantially as claimed showing a throttle valve with magnet on each end portion. The throttle valve uses a rotational angle sensor based on magnetic flux detection. However, the patent does not show the use of a valve sensor detecting rotation based on change in the azimuth of the magnetic flux.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that the sensors which detect rotation based on change in the azimuth of the magnetic flux are known in the art. (Note that the applicants own specification supports this. The applicant does not claim that sensors

Application/Control Number: 10/765,358

Art Unit: 3747

detecting rotation based on change in the azimuth of the magnetic flux are in them self novel). Detectors of this type will provide accurate measurements.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Japanese patent to include a conventional sensor detecting rotation based on change in the azimuth of the magnetic flux for more accurate measurements as known in the art.

With regard to claim 3, the Japanese patent includes a gear wheel for transmitting torque.

With regard to claims 4-5, the rotational angle sensor is embedded in the cover in the Japanese patent.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bibhu Mohanty whose telephone number is 703 308-3706. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on 703 308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,358

Art Unit: 3747

V.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bibhu Mohanty
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3747

brm